| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-----------------|--------------|
| | 09/737,070 | NAFIE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Harry Vartanian | 2634 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u> | | |
| (1) <u>Harry_Vartanian</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Robert N. Rountree (#39,347). | (4) | • |
| Date of Interview: 28 Jan 2005 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 1, 5, and 20 | | • |
| Prior art documents discussed: Calderbank et al(US PAT #6,430,231) | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussing novelty of invention over PAT# 6,430,231 and correcting formal matters. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| , | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Mon! | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |